Application No. Applicant(s) 10/668.075 MAMCHUR, STEPHEN A. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Nathan W Schlientz 1616 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Nathan W. Schlientz. (3) (2) Robert Gamson. (4)____. Date of Interview: 17 December 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 27-74.81-119 and 122. Identification of prior art discussed: N/A. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: During a telephone conversation with attorney Robert Gamson on 17 December 2008, the examiner presented a Restriction requirement (Group I: claims 27-64 and 81-119; and Group II: claims 65-74 and 122). A provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 27-64 and 81-119. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Nathan W Schlientz/
Examiner, Art Unit 1616
U.S. Patent and Trademan Office